**CANUCK LAW**

Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

**JULY 13, 2019 BY RONNIE**

# Fake Refugees Gaming The System, (United Nations v.s. N.G.O.)

(Lauren Southern reports on asylum fraud)

(MIRROR) Clip From Lauren Southern's "Borderless" Film




Original video is here.

(Squatting Slav: Lauren won’t talk about “who” is behind it)

(MIRROR) Squatting Slav On What Lauren Southern Is Leaving Out



Original video is here.

(UN publication on human trafficking)

## Advocates Abroad And Ariel Ricker

(Advocates Abroad Homepage)

(Lauren Southern exposing Ariel Ricker on coaching “refugees” to lie).



At least one organization, Advocates Abroad, is openly committing fraud in trying to get bogus “refugees” into Europe. This is done by concocting convincing stories with specific details in hopes of duping refugee agencies.

(From this RT article)

> **Ariel Ricker, the executive director of Advocates Abroad, a major non-profit NGO which provides legal aid to migrants, has been caught on tape openly discussing how she teaches refugees to lie to border agents.** The video was released by Canadian right-wing activist, author and internet personality, Lauren Southern, and will be a part of her new documentary film project ‘Borderless,’ which takes on the European migration crisis.

> One method she teaches migrants is to exploit the presumed Christian sympathies of the predominantly Eastern Orthodox Greece by pretending to have been persecuted for being Christian. She even describes telling them how to pray during interviews, ironically because doing so reflects “honesty.”

Advocates Abroad claim the video was selectively edited and manipulated to serve a particular agenda.

## Other NGO Activities

Of course the links here are just a tiny sample.

Interesting that Canada signed the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and the Protocols Thereto.

> The Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, adopted by General Assembly resolution 55/25, entered into force on 28 January 2004. It deals with the **growing problem of organized criminal groups who smuggle migrants, often at high risk to the migrants and at great profit for the offenders.** A major achievement of the Protocol was that, for the first time in a global international instrument, a definition of smuggling of migrants was developed and agreed upon. **The Protocol aims at**

**preventing and combating the smuggling of migrants**, as well as promoting cooperation among States parties, while protecting the rights of smuggled migrants and preventing the worst forms of their exploitation which often characterize the smuggling process.

Canada claims to be against human smuggling. Yet we sign treaties (like the New York Declaration and Global Migration Compact), which facilitate human smuggling.

(1) https://advocatesabroad.org/
(2) https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-48853050
(3) https://gefira.org/en/2016/12/04/ngos-are-smuggling-immigrants-into-europe-on-an-industrial-scale/
(4) https://www.frontpagemag.com/point/274010/italy-seize-rescue-ships-smuggling-muslim-illegal-daniel-greenfield
(5) https://www.gatestoneinstitute.org/12960/greece-people-smuggling
(6) https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2017-04-06/soros-linked-undesirable-ngos-fund-isis-linked-refugee-boats-eu

## Interpol's Take On Human Smuggling

For centuries, people have left their homes in search of better lives. In the last decade, the process of globalization has **caused an unprecedented amount of migration from the least developed countries of Asia, Africa, Latin America and Eastern Europe to Western Europe, Australia and North America**.

With this, we have seen an **increase in the activities of organized criminal networks who facilitate irregular migration. By providing fake identification documents, organizing transport, and bypassing official border controls,** criminals are making huge profits.

People smuggling **syndicates are run like businesses, drawn by the high profit margins and low risks.** They benefit from weak legislation and a relatively low risk of detection, prosecution and arrest compared to other activities of transnational organized crime.

Smuggling networks can be extensive and complex, and can include people who carry out a number of different roles:

A report published jointly by Europol and INTERPOL in May 2016 estimates that **more than 90% of the migrants coming to the European Union are facilitated, mostly by members of a criminal network.**

Worth pointing out: that while Interpol cites the UN's policies against human smuggling, it neglects to mention that the UN's policies around "rights" for illegals go a long way towards incentivizing mass illegal immigration.

It also neglects to point out the underhanded means which host countries have these forced on their populations by politicians.

## Media Pussyfoots Around Illegal Immigration

CBC
MENU
news
Top Stories
Local
The National
Opinion
World
Canada
Politics
More
The National · The National Today
Death toll spikes as new European policies push migrants towards more dangerous sea routes
Newsletter: A closer look at the day's most notable stories
Jonathon Gatehouse · CBC News · Posted: Nov 23, 2018 2:17 PM ET | Last Updated: November 23, 2018

CBC | MENU
'Always a way to go around': Border walls create insecurity, not remove it, says expert
Building a wall drives the flow or people and goods underground, says researcher
CBC Radio · January 24

(From a CBC article)

> “Desperate migrants are choosing ever more dangerous sea routes to Europe and using smaller and less seaworthy boats, causing a sharp increase in drowning deaths, warns the International Organization for Migration.”

> “Meanwhile in Hungary, Prime Minister Viktor Orbán is ratcheting up his attacks on the European Union, calling it a “transport agency” for migrants that hands out funds and “anonymous bank cards” to “terrorists and criminals.”
> .
> “This is the kind of slippery slope which could again lead to a broken Europe,” Orbán declared today in an interview on Hungarian public radio.

The author of this trash deliberately and repeatedly skirted the main issue here: these hoards of “migrants” trying to get into Europe were doing so ***ILLEGALLY***. Hence places like Hungary have every right to secure their borders.

(From one CBC interview)

> “AMT: We all remember the Berlin Wall coming down. In fact it was 30 years ago this year. I’ve got a clip here that I’d like you to hear. These are two Germans talking about what it felt like to stand on top of the Berlin Wall after the crowds started streaming

across the border.

.

AMT: Elisabeth Vallet, how did the fall of that iconic wall affect our ideas around the usefulness or function of walls?

.

ELISABETH VALLET: Well actually if you remember in **1989 it opened a almost a hippie era of international relations, where we believed that it was the end of borders me. Maybe even the end of state sovereignty or even the fading sovereignty of the state. We believed that peace would be dominating and that conflicts would be solved by the international community**. It actually showed the good the positive aspects of globalization. And we overlooked the negative aspects of globalization. And when 9/11 arrived, it's as if that negative aspect of globalization showed its face. And that's when the only solution to that, governments came up with the one **only solution which was building border fences, because there is no way to retain globalization,** to contain globalization."

In this garbage, the "expert" compares the Berlin Wall to border walls in general. The Berlin wall was built in the 1960s to keep Germans from fleeing, and in fact kept them prisoner. This is conflated with building walls to stop illegal immigration.

The above are just 2 examples of how media outlets (like the CBC) try to shade and distort the truth by downplaying how serious and criminal these actions actually are. They play to emotion and selectively avoid hard truths.

## UN Openly Aids And Abets Refugee Fraud

(UN supports ongoing efforts to undermine US/Mexico border)

Welcome to the United Nations العربية 中文 English Français Русский Español Português Kiswahili Other

UN News Global perspective Human stories

Search

Advanced Search

HOME TOPICS IN DEPTH SECRETARY-GENERAL MEDIA

Africa Americas Asia Pacific Middle East Europe History Corner UN Art and Gifts

AUDIO HUB SUBSCRIBE

# UN agency assists Central American caravan migrants, voices concern for receiving countries

IOM maintains its position that the human rights and basic needs of all migrants must be respecte regardless of their migratory status," said Christopher Gascon, UN Migration's Chief of Mission in Mexico.

In coordination with UN refugee agency UNHCR, he said "we will continue to monitor the situation of the caravan counting on field staff, the Mexican Office of Assistance for Migrants and Refugees, and partner NGOs, providing information regarding alternatives for regular and safe migration, as well as options for voluntary returns," he added.

> **"All migrants must be respected, regardless of their migratory status – *IOM Chief of Mission in Mexico*"**

Counting on its Mesoamerica Program – funded by the US State Department's Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration – IOM is now also able to provide voluntary return assistance to migrants

"The caravan phenomenon in Central America is another expression of a migration process that th

> *"Smuggling of migrants" shall mean the procurement, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit, of the illegal entry of a person into a State Party of which the person is not a national or a permanent resident.*
>
> **United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime, Smuggling of Migrants Protocol, Article 3 (2004)**

It involves some serious mental gymnastics to explain how the UN can both:

1. Support mass, uncontrolled entry into other countries
2. Oppose circumventing laws to get migrants into other countries

> San Jose – **The UN Migration Agency, IOM, continues to provide support and assistance to migrants who have joined the migrant caravans crossing Central America** and opted to seek asylum in Mexico or return to their countries of origin.

> In the Siglo XXI Migratory Station of Tapachula, managed by the National Institute for Migration (INM) of Mexico, IOM and the Mexican Secretary of Foreign Affairs (SRE) have been **supplying food and basic hygiene kits to over 1,500 migrants from the caravans seeking asylum in Mexico**.

> "IOM maintains its position that the human rights **and basic needs of all migrants must be respected, regardless of their migratory status,**" says Christopher Gascon, IOM Chief of Mission in Mexico. "In coordination with UNHCR we will continue to monitor the

> situation of the caravan counting on field staff, the Mexican Office of Assistance for Migrants and Refugees (DAPMyR), and partner NGOs, **providing information regarding alternatives for regular and safe migration**, as well as options for voluntary returns."

> "The caravan phenomenon in Central America is another expression of a migration process that the region has been facing for quite some time," explains Marcelo Pisani, IOM Regional Director for Central America, North America, and the Caribbean. **"It is a mixed migration flow**, driven by economic factors, family reunification, violence and the search for international protection, among others.

That's right. The UN admits that many of these cases are not refugees.

The United Nations willingly aids and abets efforts to overwhelm the US/Mexico border. Even knowing that the bulk of the asylum claims are bogus, the UN sees nothing immoral about perpetrating a fraud. Nor is there anything immoral about the burden dumped on the American public.

What is eerie is how coordinated these "refreshment aid packages" are delivered. Almost as if the UN planned this invasion from the beginning.

## UN Erasing Borders With New York Declaration (2016) and Global Migration Compact (2018)

The New York Declaration (2016) was covered here previously.

> 5. We reaffirm the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations. We reaffirm also the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and recall the core international human rights treaties. We reaffirm and will fully protect the human rights of all refugees and migrants, **regardless of status; all are rights holders**. Our response will demonstrate full respect for international law and international human rights law and, where applicable, international refugee law and international humanitarian law.

> 49. We commit to **strengthening global governance of migration.** We therefore

warmly support and welcome the agreement to bring the International Organization for Migration, an organization regarded by its Member States as the global lead agency on migration, into a closer legal and working relationship with the United Nations as a related organization. We look forward to the **implementation of this agreement, which will assist and protect migrants more comprehensively,** help States to address migration issues and promote better coherence between migration and related policy domains.

56. We affirm that children should not be criminalized or subject to punitive measures because of **their migration status or that of their parents**.

77. We intend to **expand the number and range of legal pathways available for refugees to be admitted to or resettled in third countries.** In addition to easing the plight of refugees, this has benefits for countries that host large refugee populations and for third countries that receive refugees.

The UN Global Migration Compact (2018) was covered here, and again here. Sorry, but I don't believe Michelle Rempel's half-assed "rejection" of the Compact.

OBJECTIVE 5: Enhance availability and flexibility of pathways for regular migration
21. We commit to **adapt options and pathways for regular migration in a manner that facilitates labour mobility and decent work reflecting demographic and labour market realities, optimizes education opportunities, upholds the right to family life,** and responds to the needs of migrants in a situation of vulnerability, with a view to expanding and diversifying availability of pathways for safe, orderly and regular migration

OBJECTIVE 11: Manage borders in an **integrated, secure and coordinated manner**
27. We commit to **manage our national borders in a coordinated manner, promoting bilateral and regional cooperation, ensuring security for States, communities and migrants, and facilitating safe and regular cross-border movements of people while preventing irregular migration**. We further commit to implement border management policies that respect national sovereignty, the rule of law, obligations under international law, human rights of all migrants, regardless of their migration status, and are non-discriminatory, gender-responsive and child-sensitive.

OBJECTIVE 13: Use immigration detention only as a **measure of last resort and work towards alternatives**
29. We commit to ensure that any detention in the context of international migration follows due process, is non-arbitrary, based on law, necessity, proportionality and individual assessments, is carried out by authorized officials, **and for the shortest possible period of time, irrespective of whether detention occurs at the moment of entry, in transit, or proceedings of return,** and regardless of the type of place where the detention occurs. We further commit to **prioritize noncustodial alternatives** to detention that are in line with international law, and to take a human rights-based approach to any detention of migrants, using detention as a measure of last resort only.

OBJECTIVE 15: Provide access to basic services for migrants
31. We commit to ensure that all migrants, **regardless of their migration status, can exercise their human rights through safe access to basic services.** We further commit to strengthen migrant inclusive service delivery systems, notwithstanding that nationals and regular migrants may be entitled to more comprehensive service provision, while ensuring that any **differential treatment must be based on law, proportionate, pursue a legitimate aim**, in accordance with international human rights law.

OBJECTIVE 17(c) Promote independent, objective and quality reporting of media outlets, including internetbased information, including by **sensitizing and educating media professionals on migration-related issues and terminology**, investing in ethical reporting standards and advertising, and **stopping allocation of public funding or material support to media outlets that systematically promote intolerance, xenophobia, racism and other forms of discrimination towards migrants**, in full respect for the freedom of the media

The United Nations is fully on board with erasing borders with their mass migration policies. The 2016 and 2018 agreements leave no doubt of that.

Non-Government Organizations (NGOs), or Civil Societies, are involved in bringing large numbers of people from the third world over to the first. Some do it out of guilt or conscience, while others do it for money.

Obvious question: Do these NGOs and the UN work together?

# Many NGOs (Civil Societies) Work With UN

(NGO Branch Department of Economic and Social Affairs of UN)


NGO Branch
Department of Economic and Social Affairs
HOME
ECOSOC STATUS
NEWS
ABOUT
UPCOMING EVENTS
PARTICIPATE
COMMITTEE ON NGOS
SUBSCRIBE
ALSO OF INTEREST...
Contact us
About us
Latest DESA NGO News
About Us
The DESA NGO Branch is the focal point within the UN Secretariat for non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) and for NGOs seeking status. Our aims are the following:
Support to NGOs: Informing you in a timely fashion on events and opportunities to collaborate with the United Nations.
Intergovernmental Support: We service the Committee on NGOs, which meets twice a year to discuss accreditation of NGOs to the UN Economic and Social Council.
Conference registration: Through CSONet, we facilitate participation of NGOs to most of the intergovernmental processes taking place at United Nations headquarters and beyond. Each year, some 7,000 NGO representatives participate in those events in New York alone.
Technical assistance: With support from the UN Development Account, we carry out limited capacity-building activities with civil society organizations.
Our Branch is part of the Office for ECOSOC Support and Coordination (OESC), a division Affairs (DESA) of the United Nations.

(The UN “directly” collaborates with NGOs/Civil Societies)




UNHCR collaborates with governments, intergovernmental, non-governmental organizations, UN agencies, community-based organizations, universities, the judiciary and the private sector to protect and assist refugees and pursue durable solutions.
In the early 1990s, UNHCR launched the
Accept

Faced with many complex challenges in recent years, UNHCR has redoubled its efforts to strengthen its **partnerships with UN organizations and NGOs, both international and national**, seeking to maximise complementarity and sustainability in its work for refugees and others of concern.

Today, **UNHCR works with more than 900 funded, operational and advocacy partners** to ensure that the rights and needs of populations of concern are met. UNHCR continues to give high priority to its relations with partners, and strives to strengthen strategic and operational collaboration at global, regional and country levels.

The main goal of the **organization's vast network of partnerships** is to ensure better outcomes for persons of concern by combining and leveraging complementary resources and working together in a transparent, respectful and mutually beneficial way. These partnerships also underpin **UNHCR's engagement in inter-agency fora and processes**, where mutual understanding and strong alliances help ensure that refugees, IDPs and stateless persons are adequately prioritised.

CLICK HERE, for UN Refugee Partners.

So, how exactly would switching Canada's reliance on refugee selection be helped here? If

NGOs (Civil Societies) directly work with the UN, then is there any real difference?

The UN cites over 900 fully funded partners. Other than possibly decentralizing the process, what is the point here? Is it a policy distinction without a difference?

## UN Hypocrisy On Human Smuggling

From the UN's own package on smuggling people:

> (Page 8) Salt and Stein suggested **treating international migration as a global business that has both legitimate and illegitimate sides**. The migration business is conceived as a system of institutionalized networks with **complex profit and loss accounts**, including a set of institutions, agents and individuals each of which stands to make a commercial gain.

> The model conceives **trafficking and smuggling as an intermediary part of the global migration business facilitating movement of people between origin and destination countries**. The model is divided into three stages: the mobilization and recruitment of migrants; their movement en route; and their insertion and integration into labour markets and host societies in destination countries. Salt and Stein conclude their theory by citing the need to look at immigration controls in a new way, placing sharper focus on the institutions and vested interests involved rather than on the migrants themselves.

> Aranowitz puts forward a similar view and claims that smuggling could not have grown **to such proportions if it were not supported by powerful market forces.** Furthermore, Aranowitz argues that smugglers exhibit entrepreneur-like behaviour and circumvent legal requirements through corruption, deceit and threats. They specialize either in smuggling or in trafficking services, and the profit generated varies accordingly.

Interesting. The UN absolutely does recognize the "business" element of human trafficking, and likens it to any other type of business. It is driven by high demand.

However, the elephant in the room must be pointed out. The UN itself helps to drive such demand with its "one world" policies. By arranging accords (like New York or Global

Migration Compact), the UN helps create these conditions. If it becomes mandatory that a host country ***MUST*** provide basic services, regardless of legal status, then people will flock to those countries. The UN also tries to facilitate housing and other social services at the expense of taxpayers.

To add insult to injury, these accords limit the ability of host Governments to jail illegals, and attempt to shut down legitimate criticism.

## Canada's Aud-G Uncovers Citizenship Fraud

(Rebel Media: Auditor General Michael Ferguson reports)

Citizenship being granted to people:

- With prior, serious criminal records
- Who commit crimes after arriving
- Who are using fake addresses

About the fake addresses, the video talks about 50 people using the same address (as one example) to claim residency.

The Rebel video makes a great point: If this Ministry can't be bothered to properly follow up on obvious cases of citizenship fraud, how can Canadians expect them to properly screen and select "refugees" for entry into Canada?

From this article.

> The report shows that several people and possibly dozens managed to be accepted as Canadian citizens through fraud that went undetected, or through lax controls.

> The report noted cases of people with serious criminal records who were accepted as citizens. It also found that between 2008 and 2015, 50 different applicants used the same single address on their citizenship applications during overlapping time periods during which time seven of the applicants became Canadian citizens. It took seven years before the scheme was found during an investigation.

> The report also noted that in some 49 similar cases where an address anomaly had been detected, citizenship officials failed to follow-up on 18 of the cases to see if the applicants actually met residency requirements.

> The report indicated that citizenship officers did not consistently apply their own standards to identify and deal with suspicious immigration documents including checking travel documents against the department's database of lost, stolen and fraudulent documents.

## What About Canada's "Conservative" Parties?

CLICK HERE, for Conservative Party of Canada policies.
CLICK HERE, for People's Party on refugees.

**142. Refugee Determination**

The Conservative Party is proud of Canada's humanitarian tradition of providing safe haven to those who have had to flee their homeland, and we welcome refugees to Canada. We believe the government should promote the integrity and fairness of our refugee determination system, and should ensure that decisions are made expeditiously and with respect for due process and the safety of Canadians. We believe the current appeals process is flawed and moves far too slowly.

We believe in:

i. streamlining the process of validating refugee claims;

ii. where the Immigration and Refugee Board finds claimants do not qualify as genuine refugees, ensuring such individuals are escorted from Canada in a timely manner and provided with the information they need to start the proper process of applying for an immigration permit from abroad;

iii. giving greater priority to refugees identified pursuant to the United Nations Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees;

iv. implementing a [redacted] Immigration and Refugee Board appointments; and

v. developing a refugee appeals process that is fair and timely.

# Policy

I would stop our reliance on the United Nations for refugee selection. Civil society groups that work on the ground have a much better grasp of who could successfully integrate into Canada than a big international bureaucracy. We should rely instead on private sponsorship, including by faith-based organizations. The Liberals are strangling this to make room for poorly delivered state sponsorship.

## To learn more about this policy:

An Immigration Policy to Fulfill Canada's Economic Needs

Disclaimer: political parties lie all the time, so take this with a grain of salt.

The CPC claims it will focus on “UN selected” refugee claimants, while the PPC claims that “Civil Society Groups” should be making the selections instead. However, this omits several important facts:

- First, neither party will address the corruption and fraud that goes on both within the UN and with Civil Societies. Finding corruption within the process is a very quick and easy thing to do.
- Neither will acknowledge that the vast majority of these “refugees” will likely be Islamic, an ideology which is completely incompatible with Western society. There is this **MINOR** problem of Muslims trying to take over the world.
- This United Nations v.s. Civil Societies is a false distinction, as many Civil Societies *work with* the UN.
- Canadians don’t want, nor were ever asked if they would support hordes of refugees being shipped into Canada.
- Trudeau and the Liberals are an easy target for criticism for lack of proper screening. However, PPC and CPC fail to indicate how *they* would properly screen to protect Canadians.
- Another question they won’t address: will these “refugees” be expected to work and contribute at some point, or will they be permanent welfare cases?

However, it would be fair to point out that Stephen Harper, in 2015, suggested focusing on Christians and Yazidis refugees. This would have been a considerable improvement over importing more Islam (and hence more Islamic violence), into Canada.

## Little Difference In NGO v.s. UN Selection

Just an opinion, but there doesn’t seem to be much of a difference between the 2 ideas.

Considering how many Civil Societies (NGOs) work with the UN, it seems an exercise in futility to try to separate them.

And given the rampant corruption, and total lack of respect for national sovereignty, ***BOTH*** seem like very bad options.

(1) https://news.un.org/en/story/2018/11/1024882

(2) http://archive.is/kjMuB

(3) https://www.iom.int/news/iom-monitors-caravans-central-american-migrants-supports-voluntary-returns

(4) http://archive.is/9SCmV

(5) http://csonet.org/index.php?menu=77

(6) http://archive.is/6Oh4z

(7) https://www.unhcr.org/partnerships.html

(8) http://archive.is/dKxll

(9) https://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/71/1

(10) https://canucklaw.ca/new-york-declaration-september-2016-prelude-to-the-global-migration-compact/

(11) https://refugeesmigrants.un.org/sites/default/files/180713_agreed_outcome_global_compact_for_migration.pdf

(12) https://www.unodc.org/documents/human-trafficking/Migrant-Smuggling/Smuggling_of_Migrants_A_Global_Review.pdf

(13) https://www.interpol.int/en/Crimes/People-smuggling

(14) https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/organized-crime/intro/UNTOC.html

**CANADA, CRIMINAL, EUROPE, GLOBALISM, HUMAN RIGHTS, IDENTITY/RELIGION, IMMIGRATION (CANADA), NGO/CS, TRAFFICKING, SMUGGLING, CHILD EXPLOITATION, US, WORLD, ZIONISM**